



Instructional Assistant Student Evaluation of Teaching for Alisher Batmanov Department of Economics

Summer Session II 2026 ECON 109 - Game Theory (Shishkin, Denis)

Enrollment: 50


Responses: 9 (18% response rate)

Scoring Methodology

A numerical value is assigned to each response in the standard Likert Scale used for evaluations: Strongly Agree (5), Agree (4), Neither Agree Nor Disagree (3), Disagree (2), Strongly Disagree (1). Responses marked "No Opinion/Not Applicable" are excluded from mean calculations.


1. The Instructional Assistant used instructional methods in section and/or office hours that helped me learn and engage with the course material.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
77.8% Positive	4.22 ± 0.79 (Agree)	4 (Agree)	9 (100.00%)




2. The sections led by this Instructional Assistant were well-coordinated with other components of this class (e.g., lecture, lab, assignments, etc.).

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
88.9% Positive	4.33 ± 0.67 (Agree)	4 (Agree)	9 (100.00%)




3. The Instructional Assistant communicated effectively.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
88.9% Positive	4.33 ± 0.67 (Agree)	4 (Agree)	9 (100.00%)




4. The Instructional Assistant provided constructive feedback on my work.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
66.7% Positive 22.2% Negative	3.78 ± 1.13 (Agree)	4 (Agree)	9 (100.00%)




5. The Instructional Assistant created a welcoming and supportive learning environment.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
66.7% Positive	4.11 ± 0.87 (Agree)	4 (Agree)	9 (100.00%)



6. The Instructional Assistant generally began and ended section/lab/office hours on time.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
88.9% Positive	4.44 ± 0.68 (Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	9 (100.00%)



7. Please describe any practices that the Instructional Assistant specifically used that created or interfered with a welcoming and supportive learning environment.

Created

Discussion session and office hours

The Discord was especially useful, so keep that up.

Was welcoming during discussions and was able to provide help to students in need.

yes

8. Please describe any practices that the Instructional Assistant specifically used that particularly helped you to learn the material and/or encouraged you to feel that you could succeed in the course.

definitely. super organized notes, knows the materials really well.

Discussion notes

Provided slides of examples/work that will come out in homework and examples problems that are needed to understand.

Solving questions in discussions was very helpful

9. Please offer constructive suggestions that might help the Instructional Assistant improve their contribution to your learning of the course material.

He did well.


Overall, did well.

10. Is there anything else you would like to share about your experience with this Instructional Assistant?


Not now.

One of the best Econ TA ever.


11. What was/were your reason(s) for taking this course?




12. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **IN THE SECTION**?




13. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **DURING OFFICE HOURS** ?




14. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **VIA EMAIL**?



15. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **VIA THE COURSE DISCUSSION BOARD**?



16. How often did you receive feedback from this instructional assistant on assignments/exams/papers?



The data and comments provided in this report are unfiltered and unedited, and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, the teaching department, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express their opinion.

Confidential support for reading and interpreting student feedback is available through the Teaching and Learning Commons. [Click here](#) to schedule a one-on-one consultation, or email engagedteaching@ucsd.edu.

If you feel that comments constitute harassment or discrimination, then please file a report with the Office for the Prevention of Harassment and Discrimination (OPHD). Because these comments are provided anonymously, it is unlikely that any action can be taken. However, OPHD will record and review these reports in order to assess whether SET comments are a cause for concern.

**Student IA Evaluation for Alisher Batmanov**
Department of Economics

Winter 2024 ECON 109 - Game Theory (Watson, Joel Christopher)

Enrollment: 66


Responses: 16 (24.24% response rate)

Scoring Methodology


A numerical value is assigned to each response in the standard Likert Scale used for evaluations: Strongly Agree (5), Agree (4), Neither Agree Nor Disagree (3), Disagree (2), Strongly Disagree (1). Responses marked "No Opinion/Not Applicable" are excluded from mean calculations.

1. The Instructional Assistant graded fairly and consistently.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
87.5% Positive	4.71 ± 0.45 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	16 (100.00%)




2. I went to the Instructional Assistant's office hours.




3. The Instructional Assistant's assistance during office hours was well-informed, clearly presented and valuable.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
62.5% Positive	4.55 ± 0.66 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	16 (100.00%)




4. In general, material covered during office hours was beneficial and helpful in my overall understanding of the course.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
86.7% Positive	4.53 ± 0.72 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	15 (93.75%)



No Response: 1


5. I went to the Instructional Assistant's discussion sections.



No Response: 1

6. The Instructional Assistant came prepared and well organized to lead discussion sections.


% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
93.3% Positive	4.67 ± 0.60 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	15 (93.75%)



No Response: 1


7. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately at discussion sections.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
93.8% Positive	4.75 ± 0.56 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	16 (100.00%)




8. In general, discussion sections enhanced my understanding of the course material.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
93.3% Positive	4.67 ± 0.60 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	15 (93.75%)




No Response: 1

9. I went to the Instructional Assistant's midterm exam review session(s).




10. The Instructional Assistant came prepared and well organized to exam reviews.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
93.8% Positive	4.93 ± 0.25 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	16 (100.00%)




11. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately at exam reviews.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
93.8% Positive	4.93 ± 0.25 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	16 (100.00%)




12. In general, exam reviews enhanced my understanding of the course material.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	4.88 ± 0.33 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	16 (100.00%)




13. The Instructional Assistant was approachable, courteous and showed interest and concern for students' learning and understanding.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
93.8% Positive	4.93 ± 0.25 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	16 (100.00%)



14. I would recommend this Instructional Assistant to other students.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	4.88 ± 0.33 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	16 (100.00%)



15. Do you have any other comments to add to your evaluation?

Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

Alisher was a very helpful and sympathetic TA and it was always a pleasure to work with him.

He really puts in a lot of efforts for the students, be it super quick and useful responses on discord, extra OHs before exams or Misterm review sessions.

I usually don't submit evaluations for TAs because I hardly interact with them, but I genuinely understood the material thoroughly because of Alisher's help. His discussions were extremely informative and he made time for those who couldn't make it to his original office hours. Would absolutely recommend him to other students.

The data and comments provided in this report are unfiltered and unedited, and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, the teaching department, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express their opinion.

Confidential support for reading and interpreting student feedback is available through the Teaching and Learning Commons. [Click here](#) to schedule a one-on-one consultation, or email engagedteaching@ucsd.edu.

If you feel that comments constitute harassment or discrimination, then please file a report with the Office for the Prevention of Harassment and Discrimination (OPHD). Because these comments are provided anonymously, it is unlikely that any action can be taken. However, OPHD will record and review these reports in order to assess whether SET comments are a cause for concern.

**Student IA Evaluation for Alisher Batmanov**
Department of Economics

Fall 2023 ECON 109 - Game Theory (Shishkin, Denis)

Enrollment: 47


Responses: 16 (34.04% response rate)

Scoring Methodology


A numerical value is assigned to each response in the standard Likert Scale used for evaluations: Strongly Agree (5), Agree (4), Neither Agree Nor Disagree (3), Disagree (2), Strongly Disagree (1). Responses marked "No Opinion/Not Applicable" are excluded from mean calculations.

1. The Instructional Assistant graded fairly and consistently.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
87.5% Positive	4.64 ± 0.48 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	16 (100.00%)




2. I went to the Instructional Assistant's office hours.




3. The Instructional Assistant's assistance during office hours was well-informed, clearly presented and valuable.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
68.8% Positive	4.82 ± 0.39 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	16 (100.00%)




4. In general, material covered during office hours was beneficial and helpful in my overall understanding of the course.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
81.3% Positive	4.38 ± 0.78 (Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	16 (100.00%)




5. I went to the Instructional Assistant's discussion sections.




6. The Instructional Assistant came prepared and well organized to lead discussion sections.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	4.88 ± 0.33 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	16 (100.00%)




7. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately at discussion sections.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
93.8% Positive	4.81 ± 0.53 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	16 (100.00%)




8. In general, discussion sections enhanced my understanding of the course material.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
93.8% Positive	4.81 ± 0.53 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	16 (100.00%)




9. I went to the Instructional Assistant's midterm exam review session(s).




10. The Instructional Assistant came prepared and well organized to exam reviews.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
93.8% Positive	4.87 ± 0.34 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	16 (100.00%)




11. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately at exam reviews.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
93.8% Positive	4.8 ± 0.40 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	16 (100.00%)




12. In general, exam reviews enhanced my understanding of the course material.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
93.8% Positive	4.63 ± 0.60 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	16 (100.00%)




13. The Instructional Assistant was approachable, courteous and showed interest and concern for students' learning and understanding.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	4.94 ± 0.24 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	16 (100.00%)



14. I would recommend this Instructional Assistant to other students.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	4.94 ± 0.24 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	16 (100.00%)



15. Do you have any other comments to add to your evaluation?

Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

Alisher is better than most TAs I've had. He created a discord and actively helps students, replying very timely. His midterm and final exam review sessions were extremely helpful in regaining understanding of some material.

I definitely recommend Alisher, great TA, very proficient in the course subject, great at explaining to students, kind grader. Often times I only understand 10% after attending the lectures; but after I go to discussion sections, my understanding of the material increased to 80-90%. Highly recommend Alisher as my TA. Very accessible and always willing to help us throughout the quarter. He often checks discord to answer our questions.

I feel the review and the discussion sections were a very valuable resource and definitely added greatly to my understanding of the course.

Some of the problem set questions were unfamiliar, since they were not discussed in the lecture nor the discussions. This made solving them very hard and time intensive.

TA Alisher Batmanov demonstrates genuine care for students, quickly responding to any messages on the class discord. He makes discussion sections engaging, both by explaining material and homework, but also by making tangible games and activities for students during discussion. He goes the extra mile to provide clear grading guidelines rather than relying solely on Gradescope's feedback. Overall, it has been an absolute pleasure to have a class with TA Alisher.

You could tell the TA knew the material really well, and clearly put a lot of effort into the class.

The data and comments provided in this report are unfiltered and unedited, and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, the teaching department, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express their opinion.

Confidential support for reading and interpreting student feedback is available through the Teaching and Learning Commons. [Click here](#) to schedule a one-on-one consultation, or email engagedteaching@ucsd.edu.

If you feel that comments constitute harassment or discrimination, then please file a report with the Office for the Prevention of Harassment and Discrimination (OPHD). Because these comments are provided anonymously, it is unlikely that any action can be taken. However, OPHD will record and review these reports in order to assess whether SET comments are a cause for concern.



Student IA Evaluation for Alisher Batmanov Department of Economics

Fall 2023 ECON 109 - Game Theory (Shishkin, Denis)

Enrollment: 63


Responses: 29 (46.03% response rate)

Scoring Methodology


A numerical value is assigned to each response in the standard Likert Scale used for evaluations: Strongly Agree (5), Agree (4), Neither Agree Nor Disagree (3), Disagree (2), Strongly Disagree (1). Responses marked "No Opinion/Not Applicable" are excluded from mean calculations.

1. The Instructional Assistant graded fairly and consistently.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
86.2% Positive 3.4% Negative	4.55 ± 0.93 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	29 (100.00%)




2. I went to the Instructional Assistant's office hours.



3. The Instructional Assistant's assistance during office hours was well-informed, clearly presented and valuable.


% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
53.6% Positive	4.87 ± 0.34 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	28 (96.55%)



No Response: 1


4. In general, material covered during office hours was beneficial and helpful in my overall understanding of the course.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
65.4% Positive 7.7% Negative	4 ± 1.21 (Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	26 (89.66%)



No Response: 3


5. I went to the Instructional Assistant's discussion sections.



No Response: 1

6. The Instructional Assistant came prepared and well organized to lead discussion sections.


% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
75% Positive	4.86 ± 0.35 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	28 (96.55%)



No Response: 1

7. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately at discussion sections.


% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
75% Positive 3.6% Negative	4.73 ± 0.86 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	28 (96.55%)



No Response: 1


8. In general, discussion sections enhanced my understanding of the course material.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
92.6% Positive	4.7 ± 0.60 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	27 (93.10%)



No Response: 2


9. I went to the Instructional Assistant's midterm exam review session(s).



No Response: 1

10. The Instructional Assistant came prepared and well organized to exam reviews.


% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
82.1% Positive	4.87 ± 0.34 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	28 (96.55%)



No Response: 1

11. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately at exam reviews.


% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
78.6% Positive	4.74 ± 0.53 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	28 (96.55%)



No Response: 1

12. In general, exam reviews enhanced my understanding of the course material.


% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
88.9% Positive	4.63 ± 0.67 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	27 (93.10%)



No Response: 2

13. The Instructional Assistant was approachable, courteous and showed interest and concern for students' learning and understanding.


% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
92.9% Positive	4.77 ± 0.42 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	28 (96.55%)



No Response: 1

14. I would recommend this Instructional Assistant to other students.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
96.6% Positive 3.4% Negative	4.72 ± 0.64 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	29 (100.00%)



15. Do you have any other comments to add to your evaluation?

Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

Alisher is the best TA I could ever had in the econ department. Thank you very much for all the effort you put into your discussion sessions/OH so we can take advantage of the course

Alisher was super cool and very helpful throughout the quarter! Additionally, he was available on discord too which is insanely helpful.

He answered questions very promptly on discord and that helped me a lot.

He is one of the most well prepared TAs I've had for any of my lectures and the material covered in discussion sections are really helpful. Also the help he gives out by making the most out of discord to give in person feedback compared to piazza is really helpful. I wouldn't have been able to truly understand the class material without going to discussion sections, reviews, and out of office hours help.

His discussion is easy to understand and helpful for understanding the concept of the course.

The best TA! Simple as. Very professional and knowledgeable.

The discussion sections were incredibly helpful and honestly helped me become more interested in the material - Alisher's thought process/approaches to the problems were really interesting and insightful throughout the quarter.

The instructional assistant is very easy to reach out to outside of class and answers all my questions well. His discussion sessions and review sessions are always well organized and we discuss things that are particularly relevant to what we are covering that week or the practice problems assigned to that week.

Was very available to answer questions.

The data and comments provided in this report are unfiltered and unedited, and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, the teaching department, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express their opinion.

Confidential support for reading and interpreting student feedback is available through the Teaching and Learning Commons. [Click here](#) to schedule a one-on-one consultation, or email engagedteaching@ucsd.edu.

If you feel that comments constitute harassment or discrimination, then please file a report with the Office for the Prevention of Harassment and Discrimination (OPHD). Because these comments are provided anonymously, it is unlikely that any action can be taken. However, OPHD will record and review these reports in order to assess whether SET comments are a cause for concern.



Student IA Evaluation for Alisher Batmanov

Department of Economics

Summer Session 2 2023 ECON 109 - Game Theory (Shishkin, Denis)

Enrollment: 24


Responses: 8 (33.33% response rate)

Scoring Methodology


A numerical value is assigned to each response in the standard Likert Scale used for evaluations: Strongly Agree (5), Agree (4), Neither Agree Nor Disagree (3), Disagree (2), Strongly Disagree (1). Responses marked "No Opinion/Not Applicable" are excluded from mean calculations.

1. The Instructional Assistant graded fairly and consistently.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
87.5% Positive	4.5 ± 0.71 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	8 (100.00%)




2. I went to the Instructional Assistant's office hours.




3. The Instructional Assistant's assistance during office hours was well-informed, clearly presented and valuable.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
50% Positive	4.75 ± 0.43 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	8 (100.00%)




4. In general, material covered during office hours was beneficial and helpful in my overall understanding of the course.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	4.63 ± 0.48 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	8 (100.00%)




5. I went to the Instructional Assistant's discussion sections.




6. The Instructional Assistant came prepared and well organized to lead discussion sections.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
87.5% Positive	4.86 ± 0.35 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	8 (100.00%)




7. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately at discussion sections.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
87.5% Positive	4.86 ± 0.35 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	8 (100.00%)




8. In general, discussion sections enhanced my understanding of the course material.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	4.75 ± 0.43 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	8 (100.00%)




9. I went to the Instructional Assistant's midterm exam review session(s).




10. The Instructional Assistant came prepared and well organized to exam reviews.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
87.5% Positive	4.57 ± 0.49 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	8 (100.00%)




11. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately at exam reviews.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	4.5 ± 0.50 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	8 (100.00%)




12. In general, exam reviews enhanced my understanding of the course material.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	4.5 ± 0.50 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	8 (100.00%)




13. The Instructional Assistant was approachable, courteous and showed interest and concern for students' learning and understanding.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	4.75 ± 0.43 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	8 (100.00%)



14. I would recommend this Instructional Assistant to other students.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	4.75 ± 0.43 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	8 (100.00%)



15. Do you have any other comments to add to your evaluation?

Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

Alisher really helped with my understanding of this course. Lectures at times were convoluted and the discussion sections were really helpful and easy to follow. Great guy

The data and comments provided in this report are unfiltered and unedited, and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, the teaching department, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express their opinion.

Confidential support for reading and interpreting student feedback is available through the Teaching and Learning Commons. [Click here](#) to schedule a one-on-one consultation, or email engagedteaching@ucsd.edu.

If you feel that comments constitute harassment or discrimination, then please file a report with the Office for the Prevention of Harassment and Discrimination (OPHD). Because these comments are provided anonymously, it is unlikely that any action can be taken. However, OPHD will record and review these reports in order to assess whether SET comments are a cause for concern.