

**Student IA Evaluation for Alisher Batmanov**
Department of Economics

Spring 2024 ECON 100B - Microeconomics B (Grigoryan, Aram)

Enrollment: 234


Responses: 30 (12.82% response rate)

Scoring Methodology

A numerical value is assigned to each response in the standard Likert Scale used for evaluations: Strongly Agree (5), Agree (4), Neither Agree Nor Disagree (3), Disagree (2), Strongly Disagree (1). Responses marked "No Opinion/Not Applicable" are excluded from mean calculations.


1. The Instructional Assistant graded fairly and consistently.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
96.6% Positive 3.4% Negative	4.62 ± 0.67 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	29 (96.67%)




No Response: 1

2. I went to the Instructional Assistant's office hours.




3. The Instructional Assistant's assistance during office hours was well-informed, clearly presented and valuable.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
83.3% Positive	4.62 ± 0.56 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	30 (100.00%)




4. In general, material covered during office hours was beneficial and helpful in my overall understanding of the course.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
96.7% Positive 3.3% Negative	4.6 ± 0.80 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	30 (100.00%)




5. I went to the Instructional Assistant's discussion sections.



6. The Instructional Assistant came prepared and well organized to lead discussion sections.


% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
93.1% Positive	4.62 ± 0.61 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	29 (96.67%)



No Response: 1


7. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately at discussion sections.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
93.3% Positive 3.3% Negative	4.63 ± 0.71 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	30 (100.00%)




8. In general, discussion sections enhanced my understanding of the course material.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	4.73 ± 0.44 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	30 (100.00%)




9. I went to the Instructional Assistant's midterm exam review session(s).




10. The Instructional Assistant came prepared and well organized to exam reviews.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
93.3% Positive	4.72 ± 0.52 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	30 (100.00%)




11. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately at exam reviews.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
93.3% Positive	4.69 ± 0.53 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	30 (100.00%)




12. In general, exam reviews enhanced my understanding of the course material.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
96.7% Positive 3.3% Negative	4.67 ± 0.65 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	30 (100.00%)



13. The Instructional Assistant was approachable, courteous and showed interest and concern for students' learning and understanding.


% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
96.6% Positive 3.4% Negative	4.66 ± 0.66 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	29 (96.67%)



No Response: 1

14. I would recommend this Instructional Assistant to other students.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
96.7% Positive 3.3% Negative	4.63 ± 0.80 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	30 (100.00%)



15. Do you have any other comments to add to your evaluation?

Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

AMAZING TA!

Extremely helpful review sessions that helped me succeed in the class

great TA!

Mr Batmanov is one of the best TA's I've had in my life. Very clear explanation of the material

no, cool guy

The data and comments provided in this report are unfiltered and unedited, and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, the teaching department, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express their opinion.

Confidential support for reading and interpreting student feedback is available through the Teaching and Learning Commons. [Click here](#) to schedule a one-on-one consultation, or email engagedteaching@ucsd.edu.

If you feel that comments constitute harassment or discrimination, then please file a report with the Office for the Prevention of Harassment and Discrimination (OPHD). Because these comments are provided anonymously, it is unlikely that any action can be taken. However, OPHD will record and review these reports in order to assess whether SET comments are a cause for concern.

**Student IA Evaluation for Alisher Batmanov**
Department of Economics

Spring 2024 ECON 100B - Microeconomics B (Grigoryan, Aram)

Enrollment: 157


Responses: 26 (16.56% response rate)

Scoring Methodology


A numerical value is assigned to each response in the standard Likert Scale used for evaluations: Strongly Agree (5), Agree (4), Neither Agree Nor Disagree (3), Disagree (2), Strongly Disagree (1). Responses marked "No Opinion/Not Applicable" are excluded from mean calculations.

1. The Instructional Assistant graded fairly and consistently.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
96.2% Positive	4.54 ± 0.57 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	26 (100.00%)




2. I went to the Instructional Assistant's office hours.




3. The Instructional Assistant's assistance during office hours was well-informed, clearly presented and valuable.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
73.1% Positive	4.5 ± 0.59 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	26 (100.00%)




4. In general, material covered during office hours was beneficial and helpful in my overall understanding of the course.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
96% Positive 4% Negative	4.48 ± 0.85 (Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	25 (96.15%)




No Response: 1

5. I went to the Instructional Assistant's discussion sections.




6. The Instructional Assistant came prepared and well organized to lead discussion sections.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
96.2% Positive	4.58 ± 0.57 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	26 (100.00%)




7. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately at discussion sections.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
96.2% Positive	4.58 ± 0.57 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	26 (100.00%)




8. In general, discussion sections enhanced my understanding of the course material.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	4.62 ± 0.49 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	26 (100.00%)




9. I went to the Instructional Assistant's midterm exam review session(s).




10. The Instructional Assistant came prepared and well organized to exam reviews.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
84.6% Positive	4.64 ± 0.48 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	26 (100.00%)




11. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately at exam reviews.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
88.5% Positive	4.65 ± 0.48 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	26 (100.00%)




12. In general, exam reviews enhanced my understanding of the course material.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
92.3% Positive 3.8% Negative	4.38 ± 0.88 (Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	26 (100.00%)




13. The Instructional Assistant was approachable, courteous and showed interest and concern for students' learning and understanding.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
96.2% Positive	4.64 ± 0.48 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	26 (100.00%)



14. I would recommend this Instructional Assistant to other students.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	4.73 ± 0.44 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	26 (100.00%)



15. Do you have any other comments to add to your evaluation?

Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

Alisher is a great TA

Fantastic recorded review sessions. Great examples, and made himself constantly available for questions. Amazing TA

I found Alisher to be very helpful, and definitely improved my performance in the course.

One of the best TA's I have had at this school

One of the best TAs I had in the Econ department. The reviews and explanations during discussion times really helped with understanding the course materials as the professor never explained the materials well.

Really good TA, covered the right material, always had answers to relevant questions, and taught well.

Straight to the point and precise. Would go through the material at a nice pace while also being open to questions. Couldn't recommend more.

Your one of the best. Keep it up!

The data and comments provided in this report are unfiltered and unedited, and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, the teaching department, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express their opinion.

Confidential support for reading and interpreting student feedback is available through the Teaching and Learning Commons. [Click here](#) to schedule a one-on-one consultation, or email engagedteaching@ucsd.edu.

If you feel that comments constitute harassment or discrimination, then please file a report with the Office for the Prevention of Harassment and Discrimination (OPHD). Because these comments are provided anonymously, it is unlikely that any action can be taken. However, OPHD will record and review these reports in order to assess whether SET comments are a cause for concern.



Student IA Evaluation for Alisher Batmanov

Department of Economics

Summer Session 2 2023 ECON 100B - Microeconomics B (Wolanski, Adrian)

Enrollment: 36


Responses: 12 (33.33% response rate)

Scoring Methodology


A numerical value is assigned to each response in the standard Likert Scale used for evaluations: Strongly Agree (5), Agree (4), Neither Agree Nor Disagree (3), Disagree (2), Strongly Disagree (1). Responses marked "No Opinion/Not Applicable" are excluded from mean calculations.

1. The Instructional Assistant graded fairly and consistently.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
83.3% Positive	4.27 ± 0.62 (Agree)	4 (Agree)	12 (100.00%)




2. I went to the Instructional Assistant's office hours.



3. The Instructional Assistant's assistance during office hours was well-informed, clearly presented and valuable.


% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
72.7% Positive	4.63 ± 0.48 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	11 (91.67%)



No Response: 1


4. In general, material covered during office hours was beneficial and helpful in my overall understanding of the course.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	4.64 ± 0.48 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	11 (91.67%)



No Response: 1


5. I went to the Instructional Assistant's discussion sections.



No Response: 1

6. The Instructional Assistant came prepared and well organized to lead discussion sections.


% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
90.9% Positive	4.6 ± 0.49 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	11 (91.67%)



No Response: 1

7. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately at discussion sections.


% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
81.8% Positive 9.1% Negative	4.3 ± 0.90 (Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	11 (91.67%)



No Response: 1


8. In general, discussion sections enhanced my understanding of the course material.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	4.64 ± 0.48 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	11 (91.67%)



No Response: 1


9. I went to the Instructional Assistant's midterm exam review session(s).



No Response: 1

10. The Instructional Assistant came prepared and well organized to exam reviews.


% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
90.9% Positive	4.6 ± 0.49 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	11 (91.67%)



No Response: 1

11. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately at exam reviews.


% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
81.8% Positive	4.4 ± 0.66 (Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	11 (91.67%)



No Response: 1

12. In general, exam reviews enhanced my understanding of the course material.


% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	4.55 ± 0.50 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	11 (91.67%)



No Response: 1

13. The Instructional Assistant was approachable, courteous and showed interest and concern for students' learning and understanding.


% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	4.64 ± 0.48 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	11 (91.67%)



No Response: 1

14. I would recommend this Instructional Assistant to other students.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
91.7% Positive 8.3% Negative	4.42 ± 0.86 (Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	12 (100.00%)



15. Do you have any other comments to add to your evaluation?

Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

[No Responses.]

The data and comments provided in this report are unfiltered and unedited, and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, the teaching department, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express their opinion.

Confidential support for reading and interpreting student feedback is available through the Teaching and Learning Commons. [Click here](#) to schedule a one-on-one consultation, or email engagedteaching@ucsd.edu.

If you feel that comments constitute harassment or discrimination, then please file a report with the Office for the Prevention of Harassment and Discrimination (OPHD). Because these comments are provided anonymously, it is unlikely that any action can be taken. However, OPHD will record and review these reports in order to assess whether SET comments are a cause for concern.